Art Unit: 3729

**REMARKS** 

In the Office Action, claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art in view of Fukushima. Claims 8-21 are

withdrawn from further consideration.

The gist of the instant invention is to provide a method for testing a printed circuit

board to overcome the drawbacks of using a conventional spring probe which has a

complicated structure and is difficult to manufacture with a small size. Accordingly, a

dedicated testing board is manufactured with protrusive metal points corresponding to the

test points of the printed circuit board to be tested. The protrusive metal points have

coordinates identical to the test points and are connected to the connectors of the test

nodes on the tester through the layout on the dedicated testing board and an external flat

cable. A pressure sensitive conductive rubber layer is inserted between the protrusive

metal points and the test points. By pressuring the dedicated testing board, good contact

between the protrusive metal points and the test points is achieved for testing the printed

circuit board because of the conductive rubber layer.

In the office action, the examiner rejects claims 1-7 on the ground that the

Applicant Admitted Prior Art has taught a process of testing printed circuit boards

including manufacturing a testing board having protrusive metal points by referring to

paragraphs [0004] and [0006]. Applicant respectfully contends that the rejection is

unwarranted because the prior art described in the specification does not teach

manufacturing a testing board having protrusive metal points. Throughout the

described prior arts, only testers using the conventional spring probes are disclosed.

Art Unit: 3729

The followings are excerption of the two original paragraphs [0004] and [0006] from the

specification:

[0004] The dedicated testing chooses points to be tested according to circuit layout of

a tested printed circuit board and chooses proper spring probes according to the size of

the points to be tested and the distance between adjacent points to be tested. Two

principles are employed in choosing the spring probes: (1) whether or not, the spring

probes include features suitable to test the points; (2) after the receptacles of the probes

inserted in the fixing board of test fixture, no short circuit happened. The diameter of

receptacles for the spring probes are within the range between 0.45m/m to 1.65m/m.

[0006] When using the dedicated tester, the test fixture has to be fixed to the press of

the tester and the connectors on the test fixture are connected to the tester with flat

cables so that each probe is connected to a test node in the tester. When the press is

lowered, the spring in the probe is applied by a force so that the plunger of the probe

and the point to be tested on the printed circuit board is are electrically connected such

so that the points to be tested on the printed circuit board become conductive with test

node in the tester because of close contact. This can be used to test the open/short of

each trace of the layout

As can be seen, the paragraphs only discussed the drawbacks and difficulties

that applicant encountered in using conventional test fixture on which spring probes

are used. There is absolutely no suggestion of the protrusive metal points of the instant

invention as claimed in claim 1. It should be noted that the protrusive metal points

are manufactured using printed circuit board process and the size and density of the

Art Unit: 3729

protrusive metal points can be as small as the testing points (testing pads). The spring

probe as discussed in paragraphs [0004] and [0006] includes a receptacle, a barrel, a

plunger and a spring between plunger and barrel as described in paragraph [0007]. The

receptacles are inserted in holes of the testing board and it is difficult to reduce the size of

the spring probe.

Fukushima teaches a pressure sensitive element and a stylus pen with pressure

sensitive function. The pressure sensitive element comprises a pressure transmittable

member in an annular plate shape for transmitting the pressure in the axial direction of

the pressure sensitive element, a pressure sensitive member (28), in an annular plate

shape, arranged coaxially in parallel to the pressure transmittable member. It should be

noted that Fukushima neither discloses nor suggests manufacturing a testing board

with protrusive metal points for testing. Therefore, it is not logical for a person of

ordinary skill in the art to combine the applicant admitted prior arts with Fukushima to

reach the instant invention. Furthermore, the pressure sensitive conductive rubber as

recited in claim 1 is conductive rubber for ensuring excellent electrical contact

between the protrusive metal points and the testing points. The pressure sensitive

member (28) of Fukushima is not conductive and can not provide good electrical

contact as recited in claim 1 of the instant invention.

In response to the office action, claim 1 is amended to particularly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention in a patentable way to overcome the

rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). More specifically, claim 1 recites the limitations of

manufacturing a testing board having protrusive metal points corresponding to the

Serial Nr.: 10/627,837 03177-URS

Art Unit: 3729

points to be tested on the circuit board; inserting at least one pressure sensitive

conductive rubber layer between the testing board and the printed circuit board to be

tested; and testing the points to be tested on the printed circuit board by pressuring

the pressure sensitive conductive layer using a press of the tester to electrically

connect the protrusive metal points and the points to be tested. As discussed above,

neither the admitted prior arts nor the cited reference has disclosed, suggested or

anticipated the limitations. Claim 1 should be allowable. By virtue of dependency, claims

2-7 should also be allowable.

From the foregoing discussion, it is clear that the instant invention differs from

the cited prior arts. The physical difference results in different effects and is not obvious.

The amended claims 1-7 are in full condition for allowance. The specification has been

amended to correct a few editorial and grammatical errors. A few typos in the

descriptions of the steps in Figures 1-3 are also corrected as described in the above

amendment. Both replacement sheets and annotated sheets showing changes are

submitted for approval. Prompt and favorable reconsideration of the application is

respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

ason Z. Lin

Agent for Applicant

Reg. No. 37,492

(408) 627-4082